

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/20/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/691,547	10/24/2003	Yoshiyuki Sumitomo	103213-00060	7494
7590 08/20/2004			EXAMINER	
ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN, PLLC			BRINSON, PATRICK F	
Suite 600 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20036-5339			3752	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/691,547	SUMITOMO, YOSHIYUKI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Patrick F. Brinson	3752
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day day and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE.	mely filed ys will be considered timely. 1 the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
	—· is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		osecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies o	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	y (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0- Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) ☐ Notice of Informal I	гаселс Аррисацоп (МТО-152)

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Publication # 2004/0123914 to **Chih**.

The **Chih** reference discloses a hose, fig. 2, having a hose body of which a cross-sectional external shape as seen in a plane perpendicular to an axial direction is substantially rectangular and having a linear projection (92) formed on an inner wall of the hose body along the axial direction, as recited in claim 1. The height of the

Art Unit: 3752

projection is at least 50% of the distance from a part of the inner wall on which it is formed to the opposite inner wall, as recited in claim 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 and 5-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Publication #2004/0123914 to **Chih**.

The **Chih** reference discloses a hose, figs. 5-7, having a hose body of which a cross-sectional external shape as seen in a plane perpendicular to an axial direction is substantially an elongated oval shape, having at least one linear projection (14) formed on an inner wall of the hose body along the axial direction. The height of the projection is at least 50% of the distance from a part of the inner wall on which the linear projection is formed to a part of the inner wall opposite to the linear projection as recited in claim 2. The projections have flat surfaces at the top portions, as recited in claim 3. The gap between the top of the projection and the inner wall opposite to the projection is in the range of 5 to 30%, more specifically within the range of 10 to

Art Unit: 3752

28%, as recited in claims 5 and 6. The embodiment of fig. 13 discloses two linear projections formed on opposite parts of the inner wall in such a way that the tops of the linear projections point toward each other, as recited in claim 7. The sum of heights of the opposite linear projections is at least 50% of a distance between parts of the inner wall on which the projections are formed, as recited in claim 8. The gaps between the tops of opposing projections appear to be within the range of 5 to 30%, more specifically, in the range of 10 to 28%, as recited in claims 9 and 10. The Chih reference discloses all of the recited structure in the embodiments including projections having tops, with the exception of the hose itself having a substantially rectangular cross-section. At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to form the hose in with a substantially rectangular cross section because Applicant has not disclosed that this design provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with an oval or circular crosssection to ergonomically fit a user's hand while preventing blockage of fluid there through due to external force. Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify the embodiment of figs. 5-7 and 13 to obtain the invention as specified in claims 1. Furthermore, **Chih** does disclose that it is old and known in the art to provide a hose having a substantially rectangular cross-section with continuous

Art Unit: 3752

projections to prevent fluid flowing there through from being blocked upon the bending of the hose. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the embodiments of **Chih** having non-continuous projections to have a substantially rectangular cross-section, wherein it is shown that to manufacture a tube of such configuration is old and known in the art.

3. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Chih** in view of US 4,867,485 to **Seckel**.

The patent to **Chih** discloses the recited structure, as discussed in preceding paragraph #2, but does not disclose the projections as having a cross-sectional shape being trapezoidal. The patent to **Seckel '485** discloses a kink resistant hose, figs. 3, 4A and 6, also including projections (20) that are have a cross-section of a trapezoidal shape. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the projections of the **Chih** tube to be of a trapezoidal cross-section, as suggested by **Seckel** wherein it is known to provide the projections with a trapezoidal, triangular, top rounded or any specific cross-section so long as the projection functions to keep the fluid passage inside the hose open even under an external force.

Art Unit: 3752

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Lefrancois, Seckel '925, Calvet, Corriston et al., Morris, Lo et al., Zeman, Tamari, Russo et al., Knoll, Duncan, Schroeder, Batdorf et al., McFarlane, Schrock, Mauch, Shibata et al., Larkin, Morey, and Russo are all pertinent to Applicant's invention in disclosing hoses including projections that extend from an inner wall surface to prevent the blockage of fluid in the hose due to external pressures.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Patrick F. Brinson** whose telephone number is (703) 308-0111. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Michael Y. Mar** can be reached on (703) 308-2087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3752

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patrick F. Brinson
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3752

Page 7

P. F. Brinson August 19, 2004